Morphological Effects on Expression of Growth Differentiation Factor 15 (GDF15), a Marker of Metastasis
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Cancer cells typically demonstrate altered morphology during the various stages of disease progression as well as metastasis. While much is known about how altered cell morphology in cancer is a result of genetic regulation, less is known about how changes in cell morphology affect cell function by influencing gene expression. In this study, we altered cell morphology in different types of cancer cells by disrupting the actin cytoskeleton or by modulating attachment and observed a rapid up-regulation of growth differentiation factor-15 (GDF15), a member of the transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) superfamily. Strikingly, this up-regulation was sustained as long as the cell morphology remained altered but was reversed upon allowing cell morphology to return to its typical configuration. The potential significance of these findings was examined in vivo using a mouse model: a small number of cancer cells grown in diffusion chambers that altered morphology increased mouse serum GDF15. Taken together, we propose that during the process of metastasis, cancer cells experience changes in cell morphology, resulting in the increased production and secretion of GDF15 into the surrounding environment. This indicates a possible relationship between serum GDF15 levels and circulating tumor cells may exist. Further investigation into the exact nature of this relationship is warranted.


Altered cell morphology is a hallmark of cancer but its influence on the cancer phenotype is not well described. Typically, the further away cancer cells appear morphologically from their normal counterparts, the more malignant the cancer becomes. Despite advances in identifying genetic markers that help diagnose cancer, cell morphology remains as one of the most common traits pathologists use routinely to differentiate between a malignant and normal cell (Gleason and Mellinger, 1974; DeMarzo et al., 2003). Key morphological differences between a cancer and normal cell include but are not limited to altered cell shape, a larger nucleus and prominent nucleoli.

Further alterations to cancer cell morphology occur during metastasis under conditions that either retain or lose attachment to the extracellular environment. During invasion through the extracellular matrix, cancer cells undergo remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton resulting in either an amoeboid or mesenchymal configuration, while maintaining attachment with the extracellular environment via adhesion molecules such as integrins (Friedl and Wolf, 2003; Friedl and Alexander, 2011). Once these cells intravasate into the circulation as circulating tumor cells (CTCs), they lose attachment to the extracellular matrix and as a result, appear
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morphologically different, characterized by a rounded cell body (Marrinucci et al., 2010; Scott et al., 2010).

While known oncogenes can drive changes to cell morphology (Russo et al., 1991; Fincham et al., 1999), there is also evidence that affecting cell morphology can also determine cell function. For example, cell shape regulation by affecting attachment to a growth surface had the potential to induce stem cells to differentiate into different cell types by activating signal transduction pathways such as RhoA/ROCK (McBeath et al., 2004; Zare-Mehrjardi et al., 2011). In addition, fibroblasts grown in a synthetic three-dimensional matrix had different gene expression profiles when compared to the same fibroblasts grown on a two-dimensional platform made of the same material (Hillmann et al., 1999; Webb et al., 2003). It was also demonstrated that activation of ROCK when cells were subjected to physical forces such as shear stress can affect physical parameters of the cells such as stiffness of the cytoplasm (Lee et al., 2006). This is indicative that alterations to cell shape brought about by changes in the physical environment of the cell have the potential to activate signal transduction pathways that affect cell function at both the gene expression and the physical level (Liu et al., 2006). The importance of extracellular environment is also well studied in diseases such as breast cancer, where malignant breast cancer cells grown ex vivo in tissue culture can be driven to differentiate into normal duct forming structures by growing the cells in a different physical environment containing three-dimensional extracellular matrix components (Weaver et al., 1997; Schedin and Keely, 2011). There is also growing evidence that the physical microenvironment can promote drug resistance in cancer (Desoize et al., 1998; Ghods et al., 2007). The physical environment is clearly important not only in facilitating cancer progression; but also as an obstacle to effective cancer therapy.

Thus, the physical environment is capable of affecting cell morphology and function by regulating gene expression. Identifying which genes in cancer respond to alterations in morphology may help us better understand cancer biology. In this study, we employed three different strategies to alter cell morphology. The first strategy consisted of remodeling the actin cytoskeleton while allowing attachment to a growth substrate, while the second strategy involved loss of adhesion. The third strategy grew cells in a three-dimensional matrix of different collagen I concentration. We further describe a target gene, GDF15, whose expression closely follows changes to cell morphology. This gene is implicated in multiple cancer types and may reflect a common response mounted by cells in the face of altered morphology.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

All cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection and authentication was done by provider using short tandem repeat profiling, LNCaP, PC3, and DU145 prostate carcinoma cell lines were cultured in 1 × RPMI-1640 media (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA) and 50 μg/ml of penicillin/streptomycin (Corning, Celglo, Manassas, VA), HCT-116 colon cancer cell line was grown in McCoy’s Media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS and 50 μg/ml penicillin/streptomycin.

Altering morphology with latrunculin B or jasplakinolide

Cells were treated with either 500 nM latrunculin B (Lat B) (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY) or 100 nM jasplakinolide (Jpk) (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) drug for 8 h. To allow cell morphology to recover, medium containing drug was removed after 8 h and fresh media added. Phase images were acquired using a Zeiss Cell Observer Imaging system (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Germany) at 20 × magnification.

Altering morphology by preventing attachment to tissue culture dish

Tissue culture dishes were coated with poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at a concentration of 0.8 mg/cm². Coated dishes were rinsed twice with sterile 1 × PBS before seeding cells in growth medium. To assay conditioned media for measuring GDF15 by ELISA, growth media was changed 24 h before harvesting. Harvested cell suspensions were centrifuged at 300g for 5 min and supernatant was collected for ELISA.

Altering morphology by growing cells in collagen I matrix

Human collagen type I (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA) was diluted to the appropriate concentration using sterile 4 mM HCl and 10 × RPMI was added to neutralize pH. Freshly trypsinized cells re-suspended in FBS were added to the neutralized collagen I. The collagen gel was then allowed to polymerize at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, 90% humidity overnight. To harvest the cells from collagen gels, collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. Cell pellet was harvested from gel by centrifuge at 300g for 5 min.

Signal transduction pathway inhibitors

Twenty micromolars of PKC inhibitor H7 and 2 μM of p38MAPK inhibitor SB203580 (Enzo Life Science, Farmingdale, NY) were added in combination with Lat B, Jpk, or cells grown on PHEMA-coated dishes and incubated for 8 h before harvesting cell lysates. These inhibitors were chosen based on previously observed findings that activation of protein kinase C (PKC) or p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38MAPK) through the addition of pharmacol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) or vitamin E respectively up-regulates GDF15 expression (Shim and Eling, 2005, 2008).

RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription PCR

RNA was isolated from cells using RNeasy spin column mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) and 1 μg of RNA was converted to cDNA using the iSCRIPT cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR green master mix (BioRad) and ran on a BioRad CFX-96 Real-time system. Primers were designed using Vector NTI. Sequence of GDF15 forward primer 5′-TCAAGGTCTGGACGGTACA-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GCCGTGGGACGAGAATTCT-3′. TATA-binding protein (TBP) was used as internal reference. Sequence of TBP forward primer 5′-GAATATAATCCCAAGCCCTTGGA-3′ and reverse primer 5′-ACCTCA-CATCACAAGCTCCCC-3′. ΔCT was calculated by subtracting TBP CT values from GDF15 CT values and ratio of GDF15 to TBP was calculated subsequently using 2−ΔΔCT.

Immunostaining for cell morphology analysis

Cells were washed with 1 × PBS and then fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. Fixed cells were rinsed twice with 1 × PBS and permeabilized with 1 × PBS/0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min. After permeabilization, rhodamine phalloidin was added to stain cellular actin and nuclear DNA counterstain was done with DAPI (Invitrogen) in 1 × PBS/1% BSA and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Cells were then rinsed twice with 1 × PBS and mounted in VectaShield mounting media.
Automated quantification of cellular morphology

Quantification of cellular morphology properties from low magnification (10×) fluorescent images was done using custom software written in MatLab (Chen et al., 2013). Briefly, non-uniformities in the intensity field of the fluorescent images were corrected, and precise segmentation of cellular and nuclear boundaries were conducted using the corresponding stains of actin and DAPI channels. These segmented regions were then used for quantification of cellular and nuclear parameters.

WST-1 assay

Premixed WST-1 cell proliferation assay kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) was used to measure cell proliferation. 5 × 10⁴ cells were grown in 96-well plate (BD Falcon, San Jose, CA) on either PHHEMA-coated or uncoated conditions in quadruplicates. WST-1 reagent was added in a 1:10 dilution at 0, 8, 24, or 72 h and incubated for 2 h before absorbance was measured using a FLUOSter Omega microplate reader from BMG Labtech, Germany. Absorbance was read at 440 nm, with wavelength correction done against 650 nm. Background correction was also performed for both wavelengths.

SDS–PAGE and immunoblot

Cell lysates were mixed with Laemli buffer (BioRad) with β-mercaptoethanol in a 1:1 volume ratio. Samples were then boiled for 10 min before cooling on ice. Samples were then transferred to a 4–20% Mini PTOTEAN TGX gradient gel (BioRad) and subjected to electrophoresis. Semi-dry transfer of protein to nitrocellulose membrane was done using the Trans-Blot Turbo system from BioRad. After transfer, blots were stored in 1× PBS, 0.1% Tween-20 wash buffer overnight at 4°C. Blots were then blocked in 5% milk dissolved in 1× PBS, 0.1% Tween-20, for 1 h at room temperature. Rabbit polyclonal antibody to human GDF15 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, Texas) was used at a 1:500 dilution and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Lamin A/C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) was used as loading control and rabbit polyclonal antibody against lamin A/C was used at a 1:2,000 dilution. After primary antibody incubation, blots were washed for 5 min using wash buffer five times with agitation. A HRP conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody was applied to the membrane in a 1:20,000 dilution and incubated for 1 h at room temperature followed by 5 min wash repeated five times. Membranes were incubated with Supersignal West Dura extended duration substrate (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) and the blot was exposed to Amersham Hyperfilm ECL chemiluminescence film (GE, Pittsburgh, PA).

High throughput fluorescence microscopy

Fluorescent images of stained cells were acquired using a Nikon TE300 epifluorescence microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY). A 9×9 scanning grid comprising of 81 image fields (with a ~10% overlap with adjacent fields to reduce artificial fragmentation of cell and nucleus) were generated using the NIS Elements software. The size of each image field (based on the Nikon QMI/Mc camera sensor) was 1280 × 1024 pixels, and the pixel size using the 10× objective was 0.57 μm, respectively. Two channels (UV, RFP) were acquired for each image field, followed by a calibration image of both channels respectively for use in computationally reducing the non-uniformity of the illumination field (Chen et al., 2013).

Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for GDF15

A commercial sandwich ELISA kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) was used to detect human GDF15 in conditioned media and serum and performed according to manufacturer’s protocol. Absorbance was read using a FLUOSter Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Absorbance readings were taken at 450 nm and wavelength correction was done against 540 nm. Blank corrections were done for both wavelengths. A standard curve using recombinant GDF15 was run concurrently in every plate using dilution buffer provided by manufacturer and sample concentration was calculated based on standard curve and dilution factor.

Construction of PTFE chamber

Chambers were constructed from PTFE tubing (Zeus, Inc., Orangeburg, SC) by cutting into rings 5 mm in length. One PTFE membrane filter of 0.2 μm pore size (Millipore, Billerica, MA) each was used to cover the top and bottom of the chamber using silicone adhesive (NuSil, Carpinteria, CA). A drop of silicone adhesive was also applied to the side of the chamber wall to serve as an injection plug septum. The silicone adhesive was allowed to cure for 48 h followed by gamma irradiation sterilization.

Growing LNCaP or PC3 cells in PTFE chamber

Cells were introduced into PTFE chamber using a 26G needle through the injection plug septum. Chambers were then submerged in RPMI supplemented with 5% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. To demonstrate that cells introduced into the chamber could continuously secrete GDF15, 2 × 10⁵ LNCaP or PC3 were injected into these chambers and then submerged into 6 ml of growth media. Media was changed weekly and 1 ml aliquots were harvested 24 h after addition of fresh media. Collected media was centrifuged at 300g for 5 min to separate any debris and measured for GDF15 as picogram GDF15 secreted per one million cells over 24 h. To measure cell count, chambers were disassembled by removing PTFE filter membrane and cells were harvested by gentle aliquoting without the use of trypsin. Suspended cells could be harvested from the chambers by gentle aspiration with no washing or addition of trypsin.

Subcutaneous implantation of PTFE chamber in athymic nude mice

All animal treatment protocols were approved by the animal care and use committee of The Johns Hopkins University. Chambers containing LNCaP or PC3 were implanted subcutaneously into athymic nude mice, FIVE per group, to see how the chambers will affect serum GDF15. Athymic nude mice (Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN) were first anesthetized using 100 mg/kg of ketamine and 10 mg/kg of xylazine administered intraperitoneally and the site of incision was sterilized with Wescodyne and 70% ethanol. A 15 mm incision was introduced near the right scapulae and a space was formed underneath the subcutaneous layer using a hemostat to dissociate connective tissue, forming a space underneath the subcutaneous layer. The diffusion chamber containing either 2 × 10⁵ PC3 or LNCaP cells was inserted into the space 5 mm away from the incision site. The incision site was then closed using sterile surgical clips. Mice were observed until they regained consciousness and checked daily for discomfort or inflammation at site of the implantation.

Measurement of subcutaneous tumor xenograft volume in athymic nude mice

A separate group of athymic mice were used for this experiment. For the PC3 subcutaneous xenograft, 2 × 10⁵ PC3 cells were injected into the dorsal flank of athymic nude mice. For LNCaP subcutaneous xenograft, 2 × 10⁵ LNCaP mixed with 200 μl Matrigel (BD Bioscience) were injected into the dorsal flank of athymic nude mice. Fifteen mice were used per group. Each mouse
was weighed and tumor volume was measured weekly. Calculation of tumor volume was achieved using the following equation: \( \pi / 6 \times \text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{height} \).

**Immunohistochemistry in tumor xenografts**

Animals were euthanized by CO\(_2\) asphyxiation and xenograft tumors were excised from euthanized animals and placed in formalin fixative followed by embedding in paraffin. Fixed tissues were sent to Tissue Microarray Lab core facility at the Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins for paraffin embedding, sectioning and H&E staining.

Immunohistochemistry was done using the EnVision G2 Doublestain System from DAKO. Formalin fixed paraffin embedded xenografts were first deparaffinized in xylene, two washes with 100% ethanol, 95% ethanol, and 70% ethanol, 5 min each. Specimens were then further rehydrated in deionized \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) twice for 5 min each and heated, and heated antigen unmasking was performed using 10 m\( \text{M} \) sodium citrate buffer pH 6.0 for 20 min. After antigen unmasking, endogenous enzyme activity was blocked using the dual endogenous enzyme block provided and a second blocking step was done by incubating specimens using 5% milk, 0.05% Tween-20 in 1 \( \times \) TBS for 1 h at room temperature. After blocking, primary antibody was added at the appropriate concentration (20 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) mouse anti-human GDF15 monoclonal antibody (R&D Systems); 1 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) rabbit anti-human VEGF polyclonal antibody (Millipore) for 1 h, followed by three washes with 0.05% Tween-20 in 1 \( \times \) TBS wash solution. A mixture containing HRP-conjugated dextran beads that contain antibodies to either mouse or rabbit immunoglobulins was added to bind to the primary antibody. Substrate solution was added and color was allowed to develop for up to 15 min. Specimens were counterstained with hematoxylin and permanently mounted using Cytoseal from Thermo Scientific.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad PRISM (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). Two-tailed unpaired t-test was used to analyze q-RT-PCR results of GDF15 expression as well as morphological changes. Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test was used to compare GDF15 expression between growth in different collagen concentrations as well as secreted GDF15 from different cell lines. \( P \)-values less than 0.05 are considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Identification of growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15) as target gene candidate following disruption of cell morphology**

To identify a target gene that changed in expression following manipulation of cell morphology, LNCaP prostate carcinoma cells were treated with 500 nM of latrunculin B (Lat B), a drug targeting the actin cytoskeleton (Bubb et al., 2000). PC3 prostate carcinoma cells treated with either 500 nM Lat B or 100 nM Jpk displayed altered morphology as evidenced by the rounding up of cell body within 8 h of drug exposure (Fig. 1i). Increase in GDF15 mRNA and protein levels accompanied this change in morphology (Fig. 1ii, iii), confirming microarray results. Cells treated with Jpk or Lat B remained attached to the tissue culture dish despite the morphological change. Cell morphology recovered within 24 h following removal of drug (Fig. 1i) and corresponded to significant decreases in GDF15 mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 1ii, iii). No significant toxicity was associated with 8-h exposure to either drug (Fig. 1iv).

There is evidence that treating cells with actin perturbing agents such as latrunculin or cytochalasins can activate PKC or p38MAPK signal transduction (Lim et al., 2000, 2003). To examine if these pathways were also responsible for GDF15 up-regulation observed in Jpk treated PC3 cells, we first tested a panel of inhibitors in an attempt to suppress GDF15 up-regulation by Jpk. Of the inhibitors tested, those targeting p38MAPK (SB203580) or PKC (H7) could partially suppress GDF15 up-regulation by Jpk in a dose-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 3), verifying previously reported findings of the involvement of PKC or p38MAPK in regulating GDF15 expression. As a control, PMA was used to activate PKC signaling transduction pathway. PMA was also known to be capable of increasing GDF15 expression through the activation of PKC in prostate cancer cells (Shim and Eling, 2005, 2008). Treating PC3 cells with 300 nM PMA increased GDF15 protein levels within 8 h (Fig. 1v). Adding 2 \( \mu \text{M} \) p38MAPK (SB203580) and 20 \( \mu \text{M} \) PKC (H7) inhibitors in combination prevented GDF15 up-regulation induced by PMA as well as Lat B and Jpk in PC3 (Fig. 1v). Similar results were observed in two other prostate carcinoma cell lines, LNCaP and DU145 (Supplementary Fig. 4), although there appear to be additional pathways involved in regulation of GDF15 expression for LNCaP as GDF15 up-regulation was not fully suppressed. This indicates that disruption of cell morphology using Lat B or Jpk activated signal transduction pathways such as the PKC/p38MAPK pathway. This up-regulation can be reversed by inhibiting the PKC p38MAPK pathway or allowing cells to recover cell morphology by removing the drug.

**Rapid, reversible induction of GDF15 up-regulation accompanied disruption of actin cytoskeleton**

Jasplakinolide (Jpk) is another drug that disrupts cell shape by targeting the actin cytoskeleton (Bubb et al., 2000). PC3 prostate carcinoma cells treated with either 500 nM Lat B or 100 nM Jpk displayed altered morphology as evidenced by the rounding up of cell body within 8 h of drug exposure (Fig. 1i). Increase in GDF15 mRNA and protein levels accompanied this change in morphology (Fig. 1ii, iii), confirming microarray results. Cells treated with Jpk or Lat B remained attached to the tissue culture dish despite the morphological change. Cell morphology recovered within 24 h following removal of drug (Fig. 1i) and corresponded to significant decreases in GDF15 mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 1ii, iii). No significant toxicity was associated with 8-h exposure to either drug (Fig. 1iv).

**GDF15 does not affect cell attachment or proliferation under non-attachment conditions**

To clarify the causal relationship between morphology and GDF15, cells were grown in different amounts of GDF15 to determine the effects on cellular morphology. LNCaP, PC3, and DU145 cells all produce different amounts of GDF15 while grown on a tissue culture dish. LNCaP produces the most GDF15, followed by PC3 and DU145 (Liu et al., 2003). Neither PC3 nor DU145 cells grown in LNCaP conditioned media exhibited any noticeable alterations to attachment or morphology within 24 h (Supplementary Fig. 2). In addition, neither transient nor long-term knockdown of GDF15 in PC3 or LNCaP altered morphology (Supplementary Fig. 2).

**GDF15 expression accompanied changes in morphology over time of attachment**

To confirm GDF15 up-regulation was not due to Lat B or Jpk directly activating PKC/p38MAPK pathway, we tested for both red and green channels, and using a cutoff of 1.5-fold value of less than 0.001, signal intensities above background were weighted and treated LNCaP or PC3 (Supplementary Fig. 1).
alternate approaches to alter cell morphology. The first approach was to follow GDF15 expression and cell morphology as cells were allowed to attach to a normal tissue culture dish in a time dependent manner. Freshly trypsinized PC3 cells were seeded onto a tissue culture dish and harvested 8, 24, and 72 h post-seeding for analysis of GDF15 mRNA and protein levels. Cells harvested by scraping were used as the 0-h time point control. At 8 h post-seeding, a majority of PC3 cells were not fully attached to the tissue culture dish and appeared more circular and smaller morphologically (Fig. 2i). Correspondingly, GDF15 mRNA and protein levels were elevated at 8 h (Fig. 2ii, iii). At 24 h of attachment, PC3 cells had more time to attach and appeared less circular and had a slightly larger cell size. This change in morphology was accompanied by a decrease in both GDF15 mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 2ii, iii). To confirm these qualitative morphological observations quantitatively, we quantified three parameters of cell morphology: circularity, size and perimeter and found that in concordance with qualitative observations, a higher proportion of cells were more circular and smaller at 8 h as compared to 24 h of attachment (Fig. 2iv–vi).

Next, we examined whether maintaining a constant rounded morphology could sustain GDF15 up-regulation. Freshly trypsinized PC3 were seeded on PHEMA-coated dishes and harvested at 8, 24, and 72 h post-seeding for analysis of GDF15 expression. Cells harvested by scraping were used as the 0-h time point control. Rounded morphology was maintained at all time points of this experiment (Fig. 3i). Correspondingly, GDF15 mRNA and protein levels remained elevated at all time points as well (Fig. 3ii, iii). Cells grown on PHEMA-coated dishes were still viable, although they proliferated slower than cells grown on uncoated tissue culture dishes (Fig. 3vi). Similar results were obtained with LNCaP and DU145 cells, indicating that increasing GDF15 levels is a common response prostate cancer cells exhibit when morphology was altered (Supplementary Fig. 5).

To determine if PKC and p38MAPK were also activated when cells lost attachment, we treated cells grown on PHEMA-coated dishes with a combination of SB203580 and H7. Interestingly, inhibiting the PKC p38MAPK signaling pathway suppressed the up-regulation of GDF15 (Fig. 3iv, v). This observation demonstrated that in PC3, the activation of PKC/ p38MAPK was induced by altered morphology and not a non-
specific effect of Lat B or Jpk. This inhibition was also seen in DU145 but not LNCaP, indicating that other pathways exist that could regulate GDF15 expression (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Another approach to alter cell morphology was to grow the cells in a human collagen I matrix, a major component of the bone matrix. When PC3 cells were grown in a collagen I matrix, the cells again exhibited altered morphology such as rounding of the cell body with increasing density of collagen (Fig. 3vii), similar to that seen by drug treatment or growth on PHEMA-coated plates and GDF15 mRNA levels increased with increasing collagen density (Fig. 3viii). This behavior was also observed in LNCaP and DU145 cells (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Different types of cancer cell lines increase secretion of GDF15 following altered cell morphology

To determine if secreted GDF15 was also increased when cell morphology was altered, conditioned media from cells grown on PHEMA-coated tissue culture dishes and uncoated tissue culture dishes was measured for GDF15 using ELISA. Cells were grown on either uncoated dishes or PHEMA-coated dishes for 48 h. The media was then changed and the conditioned media was harvested 24 h later and secreted GDF15 was measured as picogram of GDF15 secreted per one million cells over 24 h. As expected, PC3, LNCaP and DU145 grown on PHEMA-coated dishes demonstrated altered morphology and secreted higher amounts of GDF15 (Fig. 4i, ii). When cells grown on PHEMA-coated dishes were cultured back onto normal tissue culture dishes, cell morphology returned and secretion of GDF15 decreased. This result reinforced our findings that GDF15 up-regulation occurred both at the mRNA and intracellular protein levels upon altered morphology, and demonstrates a positive relationship between intracellular protein levels and secreted protein. Similarly, increased secretion of GDF15 was observed in HCT116 cells grown on PHEMA-coated dishes (Fig. 4ii).

Fig. 2. Up-regulation of GDF15 following changes to cell morphology by attachment to a normal tissue culture dish. (i) PC3 cells were seeded on a normal tissue culture dish and images taken at 8, 24, and 72 h after seeding. White bar = 50 μm. (ii) Q-PCR of GDF15 mRNA transcript levels from 0, 8, 24, and 72 h after seeding freshly trypsinized cells on tissue culture dish. Cells previously grown on a regular tissue culture dish for 96 h were harvested by scraping for 0-h time point. (iii) Immunoblot probing for GDF15 with lamin A/C as loading control. Cell lyate was prepared from cells harvested at 0, 8, 24, or 72 h after seeding on uncoated or PHEMA-coated dishes. (iv) Frequency distribution curve of cell circularity comparing between cells at 8 and 24 h of attachment (P < 0.05). (v) Frequency distribution curve of cell area comparing between cells at 8 and 24 h of attachment (P < 0.05). (vi) Frequency distribution curve of cell perimeter comparing between cells at 8 and 24 h of attachment (P < 0.05).
Cells grown under conditions preventing attachment in vivo can contribute to overall serum GDF15 levels

It is known that metastatic prostate cancer patients have higher levels of serum GDF15 (Selander et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2009). Our own analysis of GDF15 among 89 patients yielded similar results (Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary Table 1). It has also been documented that patients with metastatic disease have higher numbers of CTCs (Allard et al., 2004; de Bono et al., 2008), and these CTCs appear morphologically different from the solid tumor counterparts (Marrinucci et al., 2010). To examine if CTCs could affect serum GDF15 levels, LNCaP or PC3 cells were introduced as a suspension into a diffusion chamber made of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). PTFE was chosen as the material of choice as cells attach poorly to PTFE without prior chemical modifications (Chen et al., 2003; Gabriel et al., 2011). 2 × 10^5 LNCaP or PC3 cells injected into these chambers secreted GDF15 to a similar level attained by growing on PHEMA-coated dishes (Fig. 5i). Cells harvested from the chambers as a suspension retained ability to re-attach to uncoated tissue culture dishes (Supplementary Fig. 7). Chambers implanted in mice resulted in elevated serum GDF15 (Fig. 5iv) within 10 days as compared to mice with subcutaneously injected cells of similar numbers (Supplementary Fig. 7).

To observe if a tumor xenograft would influence serum GDF15 in a similar fashion, PC3 or LNCaP tumors were inoculated subcutaneously into a separate group of mice. Tumor volume and serum GDF15 were measured weekly. A linear regression and correlation analysis yielded a positive relationship between tumor volume and serum GDF15 levels (Fig. 5ii, iii). For LNCaP xenograft tumors, linear regression analysis yielded a slope of 28.8 ± 1.1 (95% confidence interval, P-value less than 0.001); the Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.9087 while the r^2 value was 0.8258 (P-value less than 0.001). For PC3 xenograft tumors, linear regression analysis
yielded a slope of 1.21 ± 0.058 (95% confidence interval, P-value less than 0.001); the Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.8145 while the $r^2$ value was 0.6634 (P-value less than 0.001). Using the linear regression model analysis, it was estimated that $2 \times 10^5$ LNCaP cells grown in the chamber and implanted into mice resulted in serum GDF15 levels corresponding to that of a tumor mass of 19.9 mm$^3$. For PC3 cells, $2 \times 10^5$ cells in the PTFE chamber implanted subcutaneously into mice resulted in serum GDF15 levels corresponding to that of a tumor mass of 51.2 mm$^3$ (Fig. 5iv).

Heterogeneous expression of GDF15 in xenograft tumors

To understand why xenograft tumors affected serum GDF15 levels to a lesser degree than cells grown in diffusion chambers, immunohistochemical (IHC) staining for GDF15 was performed on xenografts tumor sections. Visible staining was only observed in LNCaP and none observed in PC3 xenografts (Fig. 6). In LNCaP xenografts, little or no staining was observed in the majority of the tumor with stronger staining for GDF15 observed in regions where cancer cells appear to be palisading towards necrotic regions. This signal is specific to GDF15 as no staining was observed using negative IgG controls. As a positive control, xenografts were stained for VEGF, another cytokine important for remodeling the extracellular environment that is also controlled by environmental factors (Namiki et al., 1995). VEGF staining within the xenograft was homogeneous with slightly stronger staining noted near regions of necrosis (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Our results demonstrate a new unique mechanism by which the levels of GDF15 expression in cancer cells can be affected through changes to cell morphology. There are multiple mechanisms by which morphology can be altered and three different strategies were employed in this study that altered morphology while allowing cells to retain or lose cell adhesion. Cell morphology was first affected by altering the actin cytoskeleton through the use of drugs that target the actin cytoskeleton, Lat B, and Jpk. This resulted in changes to morphology while allowing cells to retract or lose cell adhesion. A second method was to physically prevent attachment to a growth substrate through the use of drugs that target the actin cytoskeleton, Lat B, and Jpk. This resulted in changes to morphology with minimal impact on attachment. A third method was to grow cells in a three-dimensional collagen I matrix gel. Cells grown in this three-dimensional gel adopted a different morphology but were still attached to the collagen I matrix.
While these strategies are artificial and may not mimic in vivo environment conditions, they are nevertheless effective mechanisms of modulating cell morphology and allowed us to study the consequences of altering cancer cell morphology.

We further demonstrated that such changes in morphology most likely resulted in the activation of signal transduction pathways such as PKC/p38MAPK and a resulting increase in GDF15 production and secretion. GDF15 up-regulation in this manner could be independent of p53, as observed in PC3 and DU145, which have mutated p53 (Isaacs et al., 1991). This up-regulation was sustained as long as cell morphology remained altered, yet reversible when cell morphology was allowed to return. This observation can also be extended to different types of cancer cells and indicates GDF15 up-regulation is a common response that cancer cells mount under conditions that affect morphology. Little is known regarding the direct relationship between changes in morphology and PKC/p38MAPK activation, but there have been reports that the disruption of the actin cytoskeleton or applying a mechanical force can activate PKC/p38MAPK activity (Geng et al., 2001; D’Addario et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2005). It is plausible a change in morphology would equate to a change in mechanical forces applied on a cell that remodels the actin cytoskeleton and the activation of PKC or p38MAPK signaling. Mechanical forces are also known to activate Rho signaling (McBeath et al., 2004; Zare-Mehrjardi et al., 2011), raising the possibility that PKC/p38MAPK and possibly other signal transduction pathways responsive to changes in cell morphology may exist. More work is needed to determine the exact nature of how changes in morphology can activate signal transduction pathways. It is also possible that changes in morphology can induce a shift in integrin binding sites that may influence signal transduction pathway activation.

Given that treating cells with PKC/p38MAPK inhibitors reduced intracellular protein levels, and intracellular protein levels are positively correlated with secreted GDF15, we believe that p38MAPK inhibitors may be useful in reducing serum GDF15 in patients. This is important because in recent years, GDF15 has been increasingly associated as being a potential marker for many metastatic cancers (Selander et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2009; Kluger et al., 2011; Wallin et al., 2011).

We also demonstrated that small number of cancer cells maintained in vivo in an unattached condition could contribute...
to overall serum GDF15 levels comparable to that of a tumor mass. While the conditions in our experiment may not truly mimic the physiological conditions CTCs experience in vivo, we believe these results still support the possibility that CTCs could contribute to overall serum GDF15 concentration. We believe that this would justify future work proposing to study the relationship between serum GDF15 and CTCs in cancer patients.

Strong GDF15 staining within xenograft tumors was observed in regions where cells were palisading, which indicates the possibility that cells could be in the process of migration or invasion. We cannot conclude definitively that the cells are actively invading as IHC only allows us to study cells in a static state but the appearance of the cells do indicate the possibility of some cellular movement. Interestingly, cells in GDF15 positive regions also appear morphologically different from the GDF15 negative cells from visual examination. This staining was observed specifically in these regions and a vast majority of the tumor was negative for GDF15 staining. There is a significant portion of the tumor that is negative for GDF15 staining. While this did not demonstrate changes in cell morphology driving GDF15 expression in vivo, it reinforces the dynamic nature of GDF15 expression when compared to VEGF, another growth factor that can be influenced by the environment. Importantly, in vitro, VEGF up-regulation was not induced by changes to morphology brought about by loss of attachment (Supplementary Fig. 8). This difference further highlights the unique mechanism by which GDF15 expression is regulated through cell morphology, and is evidence that cells display different phenotypes in response to different changes to the external environment.

GDF15, also known as macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 (MIC-1), is a member of the tumor growth factor beta (TGF-β) super-family and is expressed at low levels in normal tissue except placenta and seminal fluids (Hromas et al., 1997; Soucek et al., 2010). Early reports suggest that GDF15 plays a role in regulating immune response by inhibiting macrophage activation by TNF-α (Bootcov et al., 1997). In animal models of heart ischemia, GDF15 demonstrated a cardio-protective role after ischemia induction by regulating the recruitment and response of neutrophils to the site of injury (Khan et al., 2009; Kempf et al., 2011). This finding was extended to cancer as GDF15 could also contribute to the evasion of glioma cells from the immune system (Roth et al., 2010). It was also
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Fig. 6. Histological staining of LNCaP and PC3 xenografts. H&E and Immunohistochemistry staining for GDF15, VEGF, and IgG negative control, respectively. Large regions of tumor do not stain for GDF15. Strong staining for GDF15 was observed near regions where cells were palisading towards necrotic regions. Little staining for GDF15 was observed anywhere else in the xenograft. Strong VEGF staining was observed throughout the xenograft, but was stronger near regions that corresponded to strong GDF15 staining. Scale bar = 1,000 μm (low magnification), 100 μm.
demonstrated that GDF15 has a role in inducing cachexia, another common symptom seen in late stage cancer patients (Johnen et al., 2007; Wakchoure et al., 2009). GDF15 could also regulate osteoclast formation demonstrating its importance in bone metastases, a common site of cancer metastatic lesions (Coleman, 2001; Mundy, 2002; Wakchoure et al., 2009). Recently, elevated serum GDF15 has been increasingly associated as a potential marker for many metastatic cancers, including but not limited to colon, melanoma and prostate cancer (Selander et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2009; Kluger et al., 2011; Wallin et al., 2011). This commonality among different cancer types highlights the importance of GDF15 in the field of cancer. In addition, a common complication cancer patients encounter when undergoing chemotherapy is the emergence of chemoresistant cancer cells. Interestingly, GDF15 has also been suggested to be responsible for conferring drug resistance to cancer cells (Proutski et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2009). Not surprisingly then, elevated serum GDF15 has also been associated with a higher overall mortality risk which is indicative of the growing importance of GDF15 (Wiklund et al., 2010).

Given the potential roles of GDF15 in cancer and the ability to cancer cells to up-regulate expression of this gene upon encountering changes to morphology may explain how tumor cells escape the host immune response until successful colonization of a secondary site. Once metastases occur, cancer cells may continue to secrete GDF15 depending on the physical microenvironment, such as bone, which comprises of collagen I (Viguet-Carrin et al., 2006). Since GDF15 has also been reported to be involved in bone remodeling and cachexia, it may explain why metastatic prostate cancer patients experience loss of bone density and body weight (Wakchoure et al., 2009). Finally, the role of GDF15 in chemoresistance (Proutski et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2009) could mean that chemoresistance is an inevitable outcome of any chemotherapy regimen that induces altered cell morphology. It may also mean that CTCs could be intrinsically chemoresistant. More work is needed to examine this relationship in detail.

We would like to propose the following model based on three observations: (1) CTCs exist within the circulatory system of cancer patient and there appears to be a positive correlation between the number of CTCs observed and the presence of metastatic disease (Allard et al., 2004; de Bono et al., 2008). (2) Patients with metastatic cancers have higher serum GDF15 levels. (3) As we observed in our work, cancer cells that experience a change in morphology up-regulate GDF15 expression as a consequence. Therefore, we propose that increased serum GDF15 in cancer patients is possibly indicative of ongoing metastasis. As cancer cells start to intravasate into the circulatory system, they inevitably experience changes to cell morphology. This change in morphology activates signal transduction pathways that may result in GDF15 up-regulation. Furthermore, morphologically different circulatory tumor cells may also secrete GDF15 directly into the circulation that may possibly influence serum GDF15 levels directly, indicating that GDF15 could be used as a signature of invasive cells during metastasis or CTCs. Therefore, serum GDF15 could be used to identify cancer patients who require more aggressive treatment from those that do not. More work is needed to elucidate the exact nature of the relationship between serum GDF15 and CTCs.

We believe that GDF15 is but one of the many genes that exhibit altered expression in the face of changes in cell morphology. Identifying which other genes respond in the same way is important and will help us better understand cancer biology, particularly the onset of metastasis.
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